



Background

Current studies mainly focus on Osteoporosis (OP) programs targeting tertiary prevention, e.g. rehabilitation and recovery

- Limited focus on primary prevention of OP

OP is a degenerative disease that progresses over the years but can often be prevented through behavioural changes

- Effectiveness of interventions aimed at promoting such changes remains unclear

In Hong Kong, ageing and delayed retirement present additional challenges

- Highlighting the need for population-based interventions tailored to specific demographics, e.g. retirees, rather than focusing solely on post-menopausal women

This study systematically evaluates the effectiveness of community-based primary prevention interventions on modifiable factors, OP-related knowledge, and perceptions.

Result

Of 2463 records screened, **11 papers** reporting findings from **9 RCTs** (N=38,115) were included. Interventions included physical exercise (3 studies), education (3 studies), and mixed programs (3 studies).

- Preventive behaviours:** Inconclusive for exercise level and calcium intake, though 3 studies on behaviour to **perform screening** showed significant increases.
- OP knowledge, self-efficacy, health-belief and self-perceived preventive behaviour:** Showed insignificant improvement, except for **perceived susceptibility**, which increased significantly.
- Bone mineral density:** All 3 studies measuring bone mineral density reported significant increases.
- All studies possess a high risk of bias.

Study	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
D1	X	+	-	X	+	-	-	+	+
D2	X	X	X	+	X	X	X	X	X
D3	+	+	X	+	+	X	+	+	+
D4	X	X	X	+	X	X	X	X	+
D5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-

Judgement: X High - Some concerns + Low

Discussion

There is a **lack of structured and large-scale community preventive interventions** aimed at preventing OP development in vulnerable older adults, despite the potential health risks. **Existing studies have limited applicability in Hong Kong due to unique local demographics**, e.g. the large number of non-retirees who are at risk of developing OP. Future research should focus on:

(1) **Development of standardized interventions** with higher applicability to the local population, especially focusing on physical activity, an integral component of OP prevention that current strategies fail to target effectively

Method

We systematically searched 5 electronic databases (PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL PLUS, Scopus, Web of Science) to identify studies published from inception to November 1, 2024. Study quality was assessed using the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool (RoB 2). The study was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42024605226).

	Inclusion Criteria
Population	Older adults aged 60 or above
Intervention	Community-based primary prevention interventions
Outcomes	- Preventive behaviors on OP - Knowledge on OP - Perceptions on OP
Study Design	Randomized controlled trials
Publication Year	No limitations
Languages	Published in English only

Domain	Scale/ Instrument Adapted
Physical activity (4/9)	- Frandin-Grimby Activity Scale (1/4) - Community Health Activities Model Program for Seniors (1/4) - Tri-axial accelerometer (1/4) Self-designed questionnaire (1/4)
Dietary change; calcium intake (2/9)	3-day dietary record (1/2) 24-hour Food Diaries (1/2)
Behavioural change (3/9)	- Screening percentage (1/3) - Health Behavior Scale (1/3) Self-designed questionnaire (1/3)
Knowledge (3/9)	- OP Knowledge Assessment (1/3) Self-designed questionnaire (2/3)
Self-efficacy (3/9)	- OP Self-efficacy Scale (2/3) Self-designed questionnaire (1/3)
Health belief (4/9)	- OP Health Belief Scale (3/4) Self-designed questionnaire (1/4)

D1: Bias arising from the randomization process.
D2: Bias due to deviations from intended intervention
D3: Bias due to missing outcome data.
D4: Bias in measurement of the outcome.
D5: Bias in selection of the reported result.

